


The impact of satellite constellations on Cosmic Microwave Background experiments




Mike Peel, 13 July 2022

(Photo: QUIJOTE CMB experiment)





What we want to observe: the cosmic Microwave Background
(full sky, in intensity, from the Planck Satellite—polarisation fainter)




What we actually see: all sky at ~ 20 GHz combining Planck+WMAP satellites
Large scale polarised Synchrotron emission (similar at high freq from dust emission)

What we really see

- 10–14 GHz local sky from Tenerife
- The Sun, our Galaxy, ...
- Geostationary satellites!
 - **Brighter than the sun!**
- Satellite signals reflected from the edges of the dish
 - Using special telescopes to minimise sidelobes! Adding extra baffles reduced this.
- This was 2012...
- Satellite numbers now doubled
- Restarting observations this year...

Will we see the equivalent of the geostationary band everywhere in the sky now?



Why is there a problem?

- We observe **broad frequency ranges** (reserved bands very narrow)
 - Sensitivity goes as $\sqrt{\text{bandwidth} \times \text{integration time}}$
 - Can only see some phenomenon at some freqs (spectral lines, spinning dust, ...)
- We survey **large sky areas** to observe earliest moments (largest scales) in the Universe
- We need **high sensitivity** to observe very faint signals
 - Using 10,000+ pixels (large focal planes—unprecedented at radio frequencies!)
 - Observe for multiple years
 - Even signals in sidelobes can cause significant problems
- Previously could **avoid interference** by going to remote parts of the planet
 - Local radio quiet zones: no transmitters/mobiles, sometimes cars/cameras banned!
 - >10 GHz frequencies mostly clear free of interference—until now!

What do signals look like? (small dish)

Presumed
Starlink satellites



Single pointing
direction

11.2-12.2GHz data
badly
contaminated
(1GHz band!)

Variable
(due to satellite
movement)

This is over 1.5
days


Thanks to
Federico Di Vruno
(SKAO)



What will be impacted?



- Big radio projects running or being planned:
- **CMB:** CMB-S4 (\$700m), GroundBIRD (>\$3m), QUIJOTE (>€10m), ...—Single dishes with 0.1% sidelobes: still see satellites in sidelobes
- **Interferometers:** Square Kilometer Array (\$1b?), ALMA (\$1.4b), VLA (>\$100m), eMerlin (>£50m), VLBI (>\$50m) - see satellites at 500 km with 1000 km separated telescopes!
Super-bright signals (even out of band) can make receivers go non-linear, losing observation time (and maybe even damaging receivers)
- **Single dishes:** Sardinia (>€70m), Green Bank (>\$95m), Effelsberg (>€50m)
- **Multi-frequency surveys** (separate different astronomical components via frequency)

(All cost estimates are approximate based on public info)



Real on-sky impact?

- Zeta Ophiuchus
- Complex to understand (mix of free-free, sync, maybe AME, acts as Faraday screen, ...)
- Seems to have more high-freq signal than expected (but not spinning dust?)
- Multi-freq component separation would be nice
- But we only see half the source with QUIJOTE—thanks GEO sats! Have to mask $\sim 10^\circ$ around $\text{dec}=0^\circ$ ($\sim 100\%$ data loss).
- (Maybe could be filled in with a southern telescope—but \$\$\$!)



What astronomers can do (1/2)

- Use **digital backends** to split broadband receivers into narrow frequency channels
 - Can be selective about received frequencies within bandwidth
 - Expensive! Particularly at high frequencies.
 - Can only be used for some receivers (not bolometers/KIDs/etc.)
 - Depending on satellite transmissions, may still lose a lot of bandwidth
- **Avoid** looking at satellites
 - Need to predict where satellites will be, and actively steer around them.
 - Difficult for survey telescopes scanning at fixed elevations
 - Sidelobes still an unavoidable issue

What astronomers can do (2/2)

- **Early observations**

- Feedback to satellite operators to minimise bandpasses/sidelobes
- Knowing **out-of-band transmissions** from satellites particularly important (e.g., see Iridium transmissions in protected radio astro band!)
- **Share observations** within radio astro community

- **Observe for longer**

- Estimates depend on bandwidth and time lost, but perhaps 50% longer.
- Construction costs same—but more maintenance/running costs.
- **Huge impact on costs** of observing and analysis/scientist time (varies between projects, can easily be >50% of telescope costs—or more!)

What we need others to do

- **Narrower frequency bands** and strict control of out-of-band signals (& tested!)
 - Best thing that can be done—but remember out-of-band leakage!
(simulated impact from GPS on 21cm: Harper & Dickinson, arXiv:1803.06314)
- **Fewer satellites** (and less duplication of coverage)
 - Turn them off when passing over all radio telescopes (pro & amateur)
 - Current situation time-consuming + painful, ~100,000 satellites impossible!
- **Steerable beams?** (Avoid telescopes, or just cause worse sidelobes?)
 - Need to confirm how this impacts telescopes in reality.
- **Fainter transmissions** (lower power—and **stable!**)
- More **publicly available information** (via SatHub?)
 - Bandpasses/transmission frequencies (from measurements)
 - Accurate position predictions

New IAU Centre for the Protection of the Dark and Quiet Sky from Satellite Constellation Interference.

Led by **NOIRLab** (USA) and **SKAO** (UK), with
'Contributing Members' and 'Affiliated Members'
Now **open for membership!**.

Director: Piero Benvenuti. Co-directors by Connie Walker &
Federico Di Vruno. Four hubs:

- **SatHub** (leads: Meredith Rawls, Mike Peel)
 - Collection & analysis of satellite observations
 - Software tools
 - Training + outreach
- **Policy** (leads: Andrew Williams, Richard Green)
 - Coordinate policy action & diplomacy
- **Community Engagement** (leads: John Barentine, Jessica Heim): beyond professional astronomers
- **Industry and Technology** (leads: Chris Hofer, Tim Stevenson): engaging industry



<https://cps.iau.org/>

Summary

A large radio telescope dish, likely part of the Murchison Widefield Array (MWA), is shown in profile, pointing towards the upper left. The dish is a complex lattice of metal panels. It is mounted on a sturdy white metal support structure. The background is a clear sky with a gradient from light blue at the top to a warm orange and pink at the horizon, indicating sunset or sunrise. The foreground shows some green grass and a dirt path.

Radio astronomy strongly affected by satellites

Particularly by active transmissions

Digital back-ends can help, but expensive

Satellite swarms could close spectral windows for radio astronomy forever, particularly if we end up dealing with ~100,000 LEO satellites!

Need more data (MFI2 starting observations soon, initial observations with satellite dishes ongoing...)

Need to talk to each other to find solutions (technical + social + funding)

IAU CPS critical to have more transparent communication and collaborate on the problems (Please join it!)