PC 4602 - Relativistic Quantum Mechanics - Lecture 6

3.2 Angular Momentum & Spin

Compare with orbital angular momentum.

or

$$L_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} x_j p_k$$

 $\underline{L} = \underline{x} \times p$

with j,k summed over.

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1 & cyclic \ permutation \ of \ 1, 2, 3 \\ -1 & non - cyclic \ permutation \ of \ 1, 2, 3 \\ 0 & if \ any two \ are \ equal \end{cases}$$

Is orbital angular momentum conserved? If so, need $[H, \underline{L}] = 0$ where $H = -i\underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{\nabla} + \beta m = \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{p} + \beta m$ and $[x_i, p_j] = i\delta_{ij}$.

Compare this with

$$\begin{bmatrix} H, L_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i p_i, \varepsilon_{jkl} x_k p_l \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \varepsilon_{jkl} \alpha_i [p_i, x_k] p_l$$
$$= \varepsilon_{jkl} \alpha_i (-i\delta_{ik}) p_l$$
$$-i\varepsilon_{jkl} \alpha_k p_l$$

Therefore, $[H,\underline{L}] = -i\underline{\alpha} \times \underline{p}$. \underline{L} is not conserved. But total angular momentum must be conserved! Cf. the matrix operators,

$$\Sigma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

Can show (exercise),

$$\left[\alpha_{i},\Sigma_{j}\right]=2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\alpha_{k}$$

 $\left[\beta, \Sigma_{i}\right] = 0$

and

So,

$$\begin{bmatrix} H, \Sigma_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i p_i + \beta m, \Sigma_j \end{bmatrix} = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\alpha_k p_i = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\alpha_k p_i$$

i.e. $[H, \underline{\Sigma}] = 2i\underline{\alpha} \times \underline{p}$. Hence,

$$\left[H,\underline{L}+\frac{1}{2}\underline{\Sigma}\right]=0$$

i.e. $\underline{J} = \underline{L} + \underline{S}$ is conserved, where the spin operator $\underline{S} = \frac{1}{2}\underline{\Sigma}$. Further, since $\Sigma_i^2 = 1$, the eigenvalues of S_i are $\pm \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow$ particle has spin-1/2.

3.3 Plane Wave States

Look for solutions

$$\psi(x) = N e^{-ipx} U(\underline{p})$$

where $U(\underline{p})$ is a 4-component spinor to be determined, and $p^2 = E^2 - m^2$ to satisfy the KE equation.

Use Dirac representation.

$$\underline{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{\sigma} \\ \underline{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } U = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$$

where ξ, η are 2-component objects. Substitute into the Dirac equation.

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -i\underline{\alpha}\cdot\underline{\nabla}\psi + \beta m\psi$$

and use

$$\partial_{\mu} e^{-ipx} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \underline{\nabla}\right) e^{-i(Et - \underline{p} \cdot \underline{x})}$$
$$= -i\left(E, -\underline{p}\right) e^{-ipx}$$
$$\Rightarrow E\begin{pmatrix}\xi\\\eta\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}m & \underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}\\ \underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p} & -m\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\xi\\\eta\end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$E\xi = m\xi + \underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}\eta \quad (1)$$

$$E\eta = \underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}\xi - m\eta \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta = \frac{\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}}{E + m}\xi$$

Substitute this into (1);

$$(E+m)(E-m)\xi = \left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}\right)^2 \xi$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(E^2 - m^2\right)\xi = \underline{p}^2 \xi \quad (3)$$

$$= +\sqrt{m^2 + \underline{p}^2} \quad (i.e. \left|\sqrt{m^2 + \underline{p}^2}\right|).$$

There are two solutions to (3):

cf. positive energy $E(\underline{p})$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{s} = \sqrt{E(\underline{p}) + m} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{s}$$

where the Pauli spinors

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \ \boldsymbol{\chi}_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, using (2):

$$U_{s}(\underline{p}) = \sqrt{E(\underline{p}) + m} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{s} \\ \underline{\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}} \\ E(\underline{p}) + m \end{pmatrix}$$

with normalization

$$U_{s}\left(\underline{p}\right)^{\dagger}U_{s}\left(\underline{p}\right) = \left(E+m\right)\left[1+\frac{\underline{p}^{2}}{\left(E+m\right)^{2}}\right] = 2E$$

Normalize in a large box, volume V:

$$\int_{V} \psi^{\dagger} \psi d^{3} x = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2EV}}$$

and

$$\psi_{p,s}(x)^{\dagger} = \frac{U_s(\underline{p})e^{-ipx}}{\sqrt{2EV}}$$

This describes a particle with energy $E(\underline{p}) > m$, momentum \underline{p} , and the component of spin in the \underline{p} direction of $s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

In the same way, we can construct 2 negative energy solutions.

It is convenient to write as

$$\psi(x) = N e^{+ipx} v_s(\underline{p})$$

 $e^{+i(Et-\underline{px})}$ - energy $E(\underline{p})$, momentum \underline{p} . Note – group velocity $v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = \frac{dE}{dp}$ is in direction of \underline{p} .

As before, but eliminating ξ , get (exercise)

$$v_{s}\left(\underline{p}\right) = \sqrt{E(\underline{p}) + m} \left(\frac{\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{p}}{E + m} \chi_{-s} \right)$$
$$\chi_{-s}$$

and wavefunction

$$\psi_{ps}^{(-)}(x) = v_s(\underline{p}) \frac{e^{ipx}}{\sqrt{2EV}}$$

This describes a particle with energy $-E(\underline{p}) < m$, momentum $-\underline{p}$, and the spin component in the \underline{p} direction of $-s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

Note that in the non-relativistic limit $|\underline{p}| \ll m$,

$$U_{s}\left(\underline{p}\right) \rightarrow \sqrt{2m} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{s} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$v_{s}\left(\underline{p}\right) \rightarrow \sqrt{2m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_{-s} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. they reduce to 2-component Pauli spinors. So – so far:

- No negative densities
- Predicted spin $-\frac{1}{2}$
- Still need + and energies.